









# Action Name

# Related Task/s

Designing Cooperative Interaction of Automated Vehicles with Other Road Users in Mixed Traffic Environment: Development of HMI solutions and evaluation criteria

Technology-related

Yee Mun Lee , Ruth Madigan,  
Natasha Merat (ITS)

Why is this action important? 	Key uncertainties 	Action description 	Possible impacts 																					
<p>As AVs are likely to be deployed in mixed traffic, they need to interact safely and efficiently with other road users, including manually driven vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians.</p> <p>Currently, there is a lack of understanding of how these driverless vehicles should communicate their intentions to other road users, which could potentially reduce their appeal and value to the user.</p> <p>To ensure intuitive and cooperative interaction between the AV and others, and a smooth flow of all traffic, it is essential that there is a good means of communication between all actors.</p> <p>New communication solutions are required to facilitate these interactions.</p> <p>In addition, new evaluation criteria and measurement techniques are required to understand what effective interactions look like.</p> <p><b>Further arguments?</b></p>	<p>The impact of Human Machine Interface (HMI) solutions on road users' interactions.</p> <p>How do we measure this impact on an individual level? (e.g. trust, perceived safety, acceptance, comprehension etc.)</p> <p>How do we measure this impact on an societal level? (e.g. overall safety, traffic flow, infrastructure requirement etc.)</p> <p>What knowledge would key stakeholder groups like to have? (e.g. policy makers, OEMs, road authorities etc.)</p> <p><b>Further key uncertainties?</b></p> <p><b>Cause-effect or working mechanism </b></p> <p><b>Cause:</b> Our understanding of AV interactions with other road users is still at an early stage</p> <p><b>Effect:</b> Need more detailed understanding of how road users respond to AV communication solutions</p> <p><b>Working mechanism:</b> Standardised evaluation criteria at an individual and societal level</p> <p><b>Questions/ Feedback?</b></p>	<p>Numerous external HMI (eHMI) and internal HMI (iHMI) solutions have been suggested in the research literature.</p> <p>These solutions are being evaluated using computer based tests, real world studies, test tracks studies, pedestrian and driver simulator studies, and distributed simulator studies.</p> <p>Measurement tools include questionnaires, measures of pedestrian and driver attitude to AV communication, measures of pedestrian crossing behaviour, and measures of drivers understanding of right of way.</p> <p>An evaluation of the information obtained through each of these tools will facilitate the development of a standardized set of evaluation criteria, and provide insight to the effectiveness of HMI solutions in facilitating interactions at an individual and societal level.</p> <p><b>What needs do be done from your perspective?</b></p> <p><b>What information would you like to get out of this process?</b></p>	<p>HMI solutions for automated vehicles may facilitate the expectation conforming interaction of these vehicles in a mixed traffic environment.</p> <p>The development of a standardised evaluation methodology for assessing the effect of the new interaction strategies on AV-human interactions. This will enable a comparison across studies, aiding the development of design recommendations.</p> <p>An understanding of the individual and societal impact of AV interaction solutions.</p> <p><b>Further possible impacts?</b></p> <p><b>Stakeholders </b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1774 1186 2656 1638"> <thead> <tr> <th>Actor</th> <th>Task</th> <th>Role</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>EU</td> <td>Define common approach towards the evaluation of external vehicle communication</td> <td>Policy, information and implementation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NHTSA</td> <td>AVintent is an interACT twinning partner; it is a project funded by NHTSA and conducted by Westat</td> <td>Collaborator</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OEMs</td> <td>Bring in industrial expertise and relevance</td> <td>HMI design</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNECE</td> <td>UNECE guidelines followed in HMI designs</td> <td>Type approval</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Road authorities / traffic authorities</td> <td>Test and operate solutions</td> <td>Road operator</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ISO/TC 22/SC 39/WG 8 Task force on external vehicle communication</td> <td>Provision of a document on external vehicle communication solutions</td> <td>Standardization</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Further stakeholders?</b></p>	Actor	Task	Role	EU	Define common approach towards the evaluation of external vehicle communication	Policy, information and implementation	NHTSA	AVintent is an interACT twinning partner; it is a project funded by NHTSA and conducted by Westat	Collaborator	OEMs	Bring in industrial expertise and relevance	HMI design	UNECE	UNECE guidelines followed in HMI designs	Type approval	Road authorities / traffic authorities	Test and operate solutions	Road operator	ISO/TC 22/SC 39/WG 8 Task force on external vehicle communication	Provision of a document on external vehicle communication solutions	Standardization
Actor	Task	Role																						
EU	Define common approach towards the evaluation of external vehicle communication	Policy, information and implementation																						
NHTSA	AVintent is an interACT twinning partner; it is a project funded by NHTSA and conducted by Westat	Collaborator																						
OEMs	Bring in industrial expertise and relevance	HMI design																						
UNECE	UNECE guidelines followed in HMI designs	Type approval																						
Road authorities / traffic authorities	Test and operate solutions	Road operator																						
ISO/TC 22/SC 39/WG 8 Task force on external vehicle communication	Provision of a document on external vehicle communication solutions	Standardization																						
Evaluation criteria 		Key references 																						
<p>Individual level criteria include acceptance, perceived safety, comfort, evaluations of HMI, crossing decisions etc.</p> <p>Societal level criteria include traffic flow, road safety, infrastructure requirements, cross-cultural patterns etc.</p> <p><b>Further evaluation criteria?</b></p>		<p>interACT project Deliverables to date: <a href="https://www.interact-roadautomation.eu/projects-deliverables/">https://www.interact-roadautomation.eu/projects-deliverables/</a></p> <p><b>Further key references?</b></p>																						